

**From:** [Megan Lawson](#)  
**To:** [Gungle, Ashley](#)  
**Cc:** [Fogg, Mindy](#); [Hingtgen, Robert J](#); [Patrick BROWN \(Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com\)](#) ([Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com](#))  
**Subject:** RE: DEIR working session  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 08, 2013 9:31:17 PM

---

Thanks Ashley. Looks good. We'll see you tomorrow morning at 9.

**Megan Lawson, LEED AP ND**

760.479.4243

---

**From:** Gungle, Ashley [<mailto:Ashley.Gungle@sdcounty.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 08, 2013 12:35 PM  
**To:** Megan Lawson  
**Cc:** Fogg, Mindy; Hingtgen, Robert J; Patrick BROWN ([Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com](#)) ([Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com](#))  
**Subject:** RE: DEIR working session

Hi Megan,

Attached is a revised agenda. I have included some time at the beginning to discuss scheduling. I also included 30 minutes each for AQ, Hazards, Noise and Land Use. Let's focus on the specific comments you have identified that need further discussion. Please let me know when you are already to discuss GHG and public services and we can get a meeting set up.

I also agree that separate meetings would be useful for aesthetics and biology. Let's talk about getting those scheduled as part of our scheduling discussion tomorrow.

I went ahead and left some time for groundwater. I am hoping that Jim can run through the edits he has made to the hydrology section. If we find that the discussion is getting too detailed and that Trey needs to be involved, we can table the discussion. Do you have an estimate on when the Pine Valley Study will be completed?

Please let me know if the revised agenda sounds good.

Thanks,

Ashley

---

**From:** Megan Lawson [<mailto:mlawson@dudek.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 08, 2013 11:55 AM  
**To:** Gungle, Ashley  
**Cc:** Fogg, Mindy; Hingtgen, Robert J; Patrick BROWN ([Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com](#)) ([Patrick.BROWN@soitec.com](#))  
**Subject:** RE: DEIR working session

Hi Ashley,

Thank you for sending an agenda. We would like to add a quick (15 min) discussion on the schedule at the beginning.

Also, we want to make sure that we are getting the most value for our time and are not sure that discussing general comments will get us where we need to be. Unless we know the issue/comment beforehand we are not able to provide a valuable response on the spot at the meeting. For aesthetics and biology, I think it might be more useful if the EIR reviewer meets/speaks directly with our specialist to cover specific issues, rather than discussing these issues with 8+ people in the room who are all not relevant to the discussion. If you have any specific comments you could send now we could prepare for those today.

Regarding the returned sections, we are not yet ready to discuss GHG or Public Services. We could discuss AQ, Hazards, Noise and Land Use, however, again, I'm not sure that walking through our general response would be the best use of everyone's time. Rather than allotting 15-30 minutes for each topic, I think 30 minutes to discuss some specific comments will be sufficient.

And finally, regarding groundwater, Trey will not be available for tomorrow's meeting. If the comments are directly related to the hydrology or utilities section, we could have our analyst participate, but otherwise we may need to postpone that discussion.

Thanks,  
Megan

**Megan Lawson, LEED AP ND**  
760.479.4243

---

**From:** Gungle, Ashley [<mailto:Ashley.Gungle@sdcounty.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 08, 2013 10:49 AM  
**To:** Megan Lawson  
**Cc:** Fogg, Mindy; Hingtgen, Robert J  
**Subject:** RE: DEIR working session

Hi Megan,

Attached is my draft agenda. Please let me know if you would like to discuss any additional items or feel that particular discussions may not be necessary. We want the meeting to be as useful to you as possible.

My thought was that we would start with any questions/concerns you may have on the returned sections (AQ, GHG, hazards and public services) and then move on to general discussions on the sections you have not seen back with comments yet (aesthetics, groundwater and biology).

It may be helpful if the consultants are available for a phone call, but I don't know if they will necessarily need to be in attendance.

See you at 9:00am tomorrow.

Thanks,

Ashley

Ashley Gungle  
Land Use/ Environmental Planner

County of San Diego  
Planning and Development Services  
5510 Overland Avenue, 3rd Floor  
San Diego, CA 92123  
office: 858-495-5375  
fax: 858-694-3373

**"How to access Zoning Information "online"**: Open website: <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds>; click on "Online Services", scroll down and click on "Find Maps" (GIS); scroll down and click on "Property Profile Map"; enter APN and click "Submit".

**"How to access the Zoning Ordinance "online"**: Open website: <http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds>; click on "Zoning Ordinance", click Part Two for Use Regulations, etc.

Please consider the environment before printing this email. 

---

**From:** Megan Lawson [<mailto:mlawson@dudek.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 08, 2013 8:41 AM  
**To:** Gungle, Ashley  
**Cc:** Fogg, Mindy  
**Subject:** DEIR working session

Hi Ashley,

I just wanted to confirm our meeting tomorrow and was wondering if you could send us an agenda so we can plan accordingly.

Thanks,  
Megan

**Megan Lawson, LEED AP ND**  
Environmental Planner

**DUDEK** | *Natural Resource Management | Infrastructure Development | Regulatory Compliance*  
T 760.479.4243  
605 THIRD STREET  
ENCINITAS, CALIFORNIA 92024  
[WWW.DUDEK.COM](http://WWW.DUDEK.COM)

PLEASE NOTE: Dudek uses an email filter to clean viruses and filter Spam. Please take the time to verify receipt of any important or time-sensitive email sent to us.